

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of firms/ companies

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS****STAGE: 01**

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Scientific Systems, Lahore	M/S: Bestech Enterprises Fsd	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Financial Position / Status</u></b> i. Income Tax registration certificate ii. Sales tax registration certificate iii. Professional Tax certificate iv. Bank Statement worth (min 03 million)	<b>15</b> (03) (03) (03) (06)	 03 03 03 00 03	 03 03 00 00 03	
2.	<b><u>Firm's Experience In The Relevant Field</u></b> i. 1-3 years experience ii. 4-8 years experience iii. 9-15 years & above experience	<b>15</b> (09) (12) (15)	  09	  09	
3.	<b><u>Overall past performance of the firm/company</u></b> a) very good b) good c) satisfactory d) No past experience with this institution but firm has experience with other Govt. Institutions e) Unsatisfactory/ Poor	<b>15</b> 15 12 10 09 (00)	   10	   10	
4.	<b><u>Technical Staff</u></b> i. 01 or more employees/owners holding bachelor degree or above.	<b>05</b> (05)	 00	 05	
	<b>Qualifying points 60% of total</b>	<b>Total Points = 50</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>	

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

(Page 1 of 144)

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore	REMARKS
1.	<b>L.A Xylocaine 2% with adrenaline 1.8ml</b>			<b>Cartridges for dental use (Both of 50 Cartage) Imported</b>
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 2 of 144)

2. Impression tray stainless steel		Small/Medium/Large) Imported			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Pakistan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 3 of 144)

3. Seal Apex		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Sealapex USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Adseal Meta Biomed Korea	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 4 of 144)

4. Ligature		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G&H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid all Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid all Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 5 of 144)

5. Sterilization Pouch medium		3.1/2" x 10- 90mm x 200mm			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		32	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 6 of 144)

6. Sterilization Pouch Small		2.1/2" x 4 – 57mm x 125mm			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 200 China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 7 of 144)

7. Wire 0.12 Nitti Upper		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement. iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 8 of 144)

8. Wire 0.12 Nitti Lower		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14)  (16)  (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid alfi Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid alfi Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 9 of 144)

9. Light Cure Composite Filling Kit		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. SDI Australia	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore 4x4g Syringe Relight, Universal light Cure Russia	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 10 of 144)

10. Band Remover		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 11 of 144)

11. Bracket Remover		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Supriya Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT  
TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 12 of 144)

12. Heat Cure Powder Pink		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made In UK Locally Packed	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 13 of 144)

13. Heat Cure Pipe		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 14 of 144)

14. Cresophre (Septodent)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. PD Switzerland	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Cresotine No. 2 Tehnodent Russia	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		32	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 15 of 144)

15. Dental X-Ray Mono Bath + Self Develop X-Ray film (Dental)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Yesstar Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Yes Star China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 16 of 144)

16. Manual Protaper for Dental 21mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Dentsply Swiss	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Dentsply Swiss	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 17 of 144)

17. Manual Protaper for dental 25mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Dentsply Swiss	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Dentsply Swiss	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 18 of 144)

18. Alveogul (Septodent)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Septodent France	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Septodent France	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			14
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 19 of 144)

19. Resin Modified GIC Filling material		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Henry USA		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 20 of 144)

20. Articulating paper		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Derma USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Book Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	   18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

(Page 21 of 144)

21. Anesthetic Gel		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Septodont France	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Lolite USA	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*ayesh nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

- STAGE: 02

(Page 22 of 144)

22. Anesthetic Spray (septatet)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Septodent France		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 23 of 144)

23. Endomethasone Sealer Powder		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Pakistan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Eugetine Tehnodent Russia	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 24 of 144)

24. Endomethasone Sealer Liquid		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Sealapex USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Eugetine Tehnodent Russia	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 25 of 144)

25. Putty impression material set		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Zetaplus Italy	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 26 of 144)

26. Alginate cavex silicon		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Ca 37	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Covex Holand	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 27 of 144)

27. Paper point 15-40		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent, Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent, China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 28 of 144)

28. G.p 15-40		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent, Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent, China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

(Page 29 of 144)

29. Paper type for manual Protaper  
F1+F2+F3 Imported Excepted China India

SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent, Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent, China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 30 of 144)

30. G.P for manual Protaper F1		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent, Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent, China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 31 of 144)

31. G.P for manual Protaper F2		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent, Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent, China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

Ayesha Nazir

Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 32 of 144)

32. G.P for manual Protaper F3		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent, Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent, China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Miss Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Mr. Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Dr. Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 33 of 144)

33. Examination set (Imperial)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	Poor Quality Not As per Specification	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Strabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Strabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 34 of 144)

34. Burnisher (Imperial)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 35 of 144)

35. Condenser (Imperial) Small ended		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 36 of 144)

36. Carver for filling		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Strabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Strabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 37 of 144)

37. Excavator for cavity		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 38 of 144)

38. Spot applicator		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 39 of 144)

39. Polishing Brush		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 40 of 144)

40. Check retractor		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 41 of 144)

41. Needle holder		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 42 of 144)

42. Scissor (Straight)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 43 of 144)

43. Kidney Tray		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 44 of 144)

44. Cotton container		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Pakistan		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 45 of 144)

45. Four hole converter for hand piece		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 46 of 144)

46. Artery Forceps		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 47 of 144)

47. Tissue forceps non tooth		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 48 of 144)

48. Tissue forceps tooth		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 49 of 144)

49. Suetian tip		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd.	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 50 of 144)

50. Hand piece oil		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Apple Singapore	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore SKI China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 51 of 144)

51. Gum Paint		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Pakistan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore 500ml Pak Made	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Miss Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Mr. Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Dr. Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 52 of 144)

52. Zinc Phosphate Cement		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. GC Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore TG UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Poor Quality Sample, Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*

Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*

Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*

Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 53 of 144)

53. Zinc Oxide Engenol Cement		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd.	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore TG UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Poor Quality Sample Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 54 of 144)

54. Diamond Bur Round		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	   18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Yes*  
*Zahra*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*U*  
*all*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Qhan*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)



**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 56 of 144)

56. Diamond Bur tapered Fissure		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 57 of 144)

57. Diamond bur Cylindrical		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 58 of 144)

58. Diamond Bur Wheel		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)*Abid al-Shabbir*Mr. Abid al-Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)*Asim Bilal*Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 59 of 144)

59. Diamond Bur Straight		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 60 of 144)

60. K-File 15-40 21mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 6, Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 61 of 144)

61. K-File 15-40 25mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 6, Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 62 of 144)

62. H-File 15-40 21mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 6, Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	25	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>39</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 63 of 144)

63. H-File 15-40 25mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 6, Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year`s (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 64 of 144)

64. Gate Glidden Bur		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Imported	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 65 of 144)

65. Separators		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G &H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 66 of 144)

66. Spreader for Rct		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 67 of 144)

67. Pupoteet Powder liquid		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. PD Switzerland	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 68 of 144)

68. Abrasive Strips		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. PD Switzerland		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 69 of 144)

69. Guaze Piece 2"x2"		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Medicom		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 70 of 144)

70. Dental Bib Napkin		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 500 China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 71 of 144)

71. Wooden Wedges		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 72 of 144)

72. Composite Finishing Bur		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Swiss Made	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT  
TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 73 of 144)

73. Tg Line Cell (Die Cell)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Dycell USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore 13 gm base+11gm catalyst TG-UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 74 of 144)

74. Ortho Wax		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 75 of 144)

75. ESTA Cream		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. B & E Korea	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Meta Biomed Korea	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid aifi Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid aifi Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 76 of 144)

76. Tri Cresol Formalin 15ml		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore TKF		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)	  14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 77 of 144)

77. Endo Gange		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 78 of 144)

78. Flow Able Composite Kit		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. B & E Korea		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 79 of 144)

79. Cow Horm Forceps		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 80 of 144)

80. Cling Sheet		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bifal*  
Dr. Asim Bifal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 81 of 144)

81. Hyrax (Expansion Screw)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. American		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 82 of 144)

82. Endo Rotary		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. IMD USA		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid aali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid aali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 83 of 144)

83. Gic II (Glass Inommer Cement)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. GC Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore 10gm Powder +7ml Liquid GC-JAPAN	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 84 of 144)

84. Self-Cure Powder		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 85 of 144)

85. Self-Cure Liquid		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Germnay	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 86 of 144)

86. Cavit (Temporary filling material)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Provis Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore 40gm Provis Favodent Germany	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year`s (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 87 of 144)

87. Torch Refilled Gas		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. USA		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)			No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 88 of 144)

88. Butane Torch Refilled		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Pakistan		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 89 of 144)

89. Hard Plaster		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd.	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Korea Made	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 90 of 144)

90. Granulator Powder Liquid 20gm + 15ml		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. PD Switzerland		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 91 of 144)

91. Power Chain Short		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 92 of 144)

92. Power Chain Close		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)			<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-23**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 93 of 144)

93. Power Chain Long		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
 Miss Ayesha Nazir  
 Hospital Pharmacist  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Abid al Shabbir*  
 Mr. Abid al Shabbir  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
 Dr. Asim Bilal  
 Head of Dental Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 94 of 144)

94. Soldering Wire		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)*Abid ali Shabbir*Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)*Asim Bilal*Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 95 of 144)

95. Molar Band		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 96 of 144)

96. Premolar Band		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Zyesh Fatima*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 97 of 144)

97. Coaxial Wire		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. All Star USA		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)			<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 98 of 144)

98. Bracker Holder		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad.  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-23**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 99 of 144)

99. Mathew for Braes		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
 Miss Ayesha Nazir  
 Hospital Pharmacist  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
 Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
 Dr. Asim Bilal  
 Head of Dental Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 100 of 144)

100. Netti Wire All Sequences		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 101 of 144)

101. SS Wire Sequence All		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 102 of 144)

102. Sterilization Pouch Small		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-23**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 103 of 144)

103. Cold Mowel Seal		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pak Made	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
 Miss Ayesha Nazir  
 Hospital Pharmacist  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
 Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
 Dr. Asim Bilal  
 Head of Dental Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 104 of 144)

104. Extraction Forceps L/Molar		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	Poor quality of the product (Technically rejected)	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 105 of 144)

105. Baby forceps Interior Upper		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	      Poor quality of the product (Technically rejected)	      Poor quality of the product (Technically rejected)	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 106 of 144)


106. Baby forceps Lower BDR		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.



Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)



Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 107 of 144)

107. Baby forceps Upper BDS		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 108 of 144)

108. Straight Elevator		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 109 of 144)

109. Periosteal Elevator		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 110 of 144)

110. PP 45-80		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	   No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	   18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		32	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 111 of 144)

111. GP 45-80		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid alf Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid alf Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 112 of 144)

112. K File 45-80 21mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 113 of 144)

113. K file 45-80 25mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b>  (14)  (16)  (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 114 of 144)

114. H File 45-80 21mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 115 of 144)

115. H File 45-80 25mm		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Mani Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Mani Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 116 of 144)

116. Surgical Bur Round		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Massinger Germany	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30)  (25)  (18)  (16)  (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 117 of 144)

117. Surgical Bur Fissure		Imported Excepted China India					
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Massinger Germany	REMARKS		
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18			
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)				14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>					

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 118 of 144)

118. Matrix Strip Holder		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 12 Strips		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	18		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)	14		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>	<b>32</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 119 of 144)

119. Sealer Tip # 8		Imported NSK			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. NSK Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Woodpecker China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 120 of 144)

120. Metapex Calcium Hydroxide with idoform		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Meta Korea	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Meta Biomed Korea	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	18	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		14	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 121 of 144)

121. Ball Ended		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS		REMARKS
1.	<u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>NOT QUOTED</b>	
2.	<u>Experience of the product in the market</u> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
 Miss Ayesha Nazir  
 Hospital Pharmacist  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
 Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
 Dr. Asim Bilal  
 Head of Dental Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 122 of 144)

122. Plastic instruments		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 123 of 144)

123. Wire Cutter		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)			No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 124 of 144)

124. Lac Back Wire		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. USA		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 125 of 144)

125. Portable Suction for Dental Units		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 126 of 144)

126. Micro motor Foe Slow Hand Piece		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. NSK Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore NSK Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 127 of 144)

127. Sterilization pouch Medium		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 128 of 144)

128. Sterilization Pouch Large		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Qualident	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 129 of 144)

129. Coloring Light Led		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Westcode USA		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

- NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 130 of 144)

130. Rotray File		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. IMD USA	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Dentsply Swiss	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 131 of 144)

131. Rotray Gp		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gepadent China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

- **DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 132 of 144)

132. Rotray PP (Point)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Gapadent Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Gapadent China	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 133 of 144)

133. MTA		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Tehnodent Russia		REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>		
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 134 of 144)

134. Open Conil Spring		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 135 of 144)

135. Closed coil Spring		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. G & H Germany	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not as per Required Specification Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 136 of 144)

136. Extraction 4/molar Forceps Right		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 137 of 144)

137. Extraction L4/Molar Forceps Left		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b>Experience of the product in the market</b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 138 of 144)

138. Extraction Forceps Premolar		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 139 of 144)

139. Extraction upper Anterior		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 140 of 144)

140. Extraction Lower Anterior		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 141 of 144)

141. Upper BDR Forceps Posterior		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Made in Pakistan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**

Technical Evaluation of products

**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 142 of 144)

142. Gic I (Glass Inommer Cemil)		Imported Excepted China India			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. GC Japan	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore GC Japan	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	14	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)		18	
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		<b>32</b>	

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*ayesh nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)

**BID EVALUATION REPORT****TENDERS 2022-23**Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**

STAGE: 02

(Page 143 of 144)

143. Diseating Sersor For Surgery		Imported Excepted China India		
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Saga UK	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b><u>30</u></b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b><u>20</u></b> (14) (16) (20)		
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>		

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.

ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

*Ayesha Nazir*  
Miss Ayesha Nazir  
Hospital Pharmacist  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Abid ali Shabbir*  
Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
Statistical Officer  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Member)

*Asim Bilal*  
Dr. Asim Bilal  
Head of Dental Department  
Children Hospital Faisalabad  
(Chairman)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**  
**TENDERS 2022-23**  
 Technical Evaluation of products  
**DENTAL MATERIAL ITEMS**


STAGE: 02


(Page 144 of 144)

144. Examintion Gloves		Latex Medium Best Quality			
SR. NO.	EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS	M/S: Bestech Enterprise Fsd. Malaysia	M/S: Scientific Systems Lahore Pack of 50 Pair Made In Malaysia	REMARKS
1.	<b><u>Product's past experience / Quality Evaluation (Product)</u></b> i) very good & product complies to required specifications/requirements ii) good past experience & product complies to required specifications/ requirement iii) satisfactory & product complies to required specifications/requirement iv) No past experience but product complies to required specifications/requirements v) Poor quality of the product / product does not comply to required specification (Technically rejected)	<b>30</b>  (30) (25) (18) (16) (00)	<b>No Sample for Evaluation is Provided Hence Rejected</b>	<b>Not Required</b>	
2.	<b><u>Experience of the product in the market</u></b> i) 1-3 years' experience ii) 4-8 years' experience iii) 9-15 year's (& above) experience	<b>20</b> (14) (16) (20)			
	<b>Total points = 50</b>	<b>Qualifying points = 30</b>			

**NOTE:** i). The product / item will be technically rejected if found of poor quality on the basis of past experience.  
 ii). If a product is technically rejected by the TAC, it will not be considered for opening of Financial Bid even qualified as per yard stick of merit point system.

  
 Miss Ayesha Nazir  
 Hospital Pharmacist  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
 Mr. Abid ali Shabbir  
 Statistical Officer  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Member)

  
 Dr. Asim Bilal  
 Head of Dental Department  
 Children Hospital Faisalabad  
 (Chairman)